

A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project TR010062

Development Consent Order Application 6.1 Errata Report (Rev 2) Tracked

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

24 January 2023



Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project Development Consent Order 202x

6.1 **DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER ERRATA REPORT** (REV 1)

Planning Inspectorate Scheme	TR010062
Reference	
Application Document Reference	6.1 (Rev 1)
Author:	A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project Team,
	National Highways

Version	Date	Status of Version
Issue 1	02 September 2022	S4 Approved
Rev 2	24 January 2023	Deadline 3



Document Verification				
Project Title A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project				
Document Title	Development Consent Order Application Errata			
Document Ref	6.1			



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
	rpose of this document	
•	ucture of this document	
	Development Consent Order Application Errata	
	13a – Scraps Scarps	



1. Introduction

Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This document, the Development Consent Order Application Errata Report (the Errata Report), sets out the Errata that have been identified to date within the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project (the Project).
- 1.1.2 The DCO application (the Application) was submitted by National Highways (the Applicant), to the Secretary of State through the Planning Inspectorate on 21 June 2022 and was accepted for examination on 19 July 2022.
- 1.1.3 Errata are errors within the DCO application documents, which are minor in nature and do not change the meaning of documents, analysis, assessment or any conclusions (and which are referred to in this document as "Errata").
- 1.1.4 This Errata Report provides an updated version to that which was submitted in response to the matters arising from acceptance, where these were considered Errata, as noted in the Section 55 Checklist and set out in the Rule 9 Letter Notification of Procedural Decisions Annex A Matters Arising from Acceptance issued by the Examining Authority on 29 July 2022, and also additional Errata identified by National Highways.
- 1.1.5 This version of the Errata Report is correct as of Deadline 3 (24 January 2023) of the Examination.

Structure of this document

- 1.1.1 This document sets out Errata in Table 2-1, where a correction is provided for clarification. A strikethrough has been used to show text which can be removed from the documents, whilst text in red is new and altered text.
- 1.1.2 As the Errata identified are very minor, the application documents, referred to in Table 2-1, have not been reissued. As such, the submission documents, as available on the project page of the Planning Inspectorate's National Infrastructure Planning website, remain unchanged; however, they should be referenced against the changes contained within this document.
- 1.1.3 This document will be updated further and re-submitted, should further Errata be identified.
- 1.1.4 Where a DCO application document is required to be re-submitted, for reasons other than minor Errata (i.e. where the meaning within the document is changed or where additional information is provided), any Errata will be incorporated in the re-submitted document(s) to provide a fully updated Application document. Since, the original version of this Errata report, some DCO application documents have been revised and submitted to the Examination of the DCO application. Where this is the case, these revised



documents have included the amendments previously identified as errata. Where Errata have been amended in this way, this is identified in the 'Application Document Reference' column of Table 2-1 below, and the relevant row has been coloured grey.



2 Development Consent Order Application Errata



Table 2-1: Development Consent Order Application Errata

Table 2-1: Development Consen Application Document	Section and Paragraph	Nature of Erratum	Amendment / Replacement Text
Reference	Reference	and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text
APP-285	Schedule 1	Incorrect footpath	Work No. 08-2— as shown on sheet 1 of the works plans for scheme 08 and being the
5.1 Draft Development	Part 6 Work	name	construction of a new footpath and private means of access, comprising—
Consent Order	No. 08-2,		(a) the construction of a length of new footway, linking Bowes Footpath 1 with
(This has now been	pages 68 and		BowesRokeby Footpath 7;
amended in 5.1 Draft	69		(b) the construction of new private means of access, as shown illustratively on sheet 1
Development Consent			of the rights of way and access plans for scheme 08; and
Order Rev 2 (REP2-005)			(c) works to effect the stopping up of Bowes Footpath 1 and BowesRokeby Footpath 7.
which was submitted at			
Deadline 2).			
APP-285	Schedule 1	Reference to sheet	Work No. 09-9— as shown on sheets 3 and 4 of the works plans for scheme 09 and
5.1 Draft Development	Part 7 Work	4 missing	comprising the construction of a new bridleway, to include—
Consent Order	No 09-9, page		
(This has now been	75		
amended in 5.1 Draft			
Development Consent			
Order Rev 2 (REP2-005)			
which was submitted at			
Deadline 2).	Oals a ded a O	A t	D-f
APP-285	Schedule 2	A typographical	Reference 67
5.1 Draft Development	Part 3, page	error in the road	New private means of access between Bridleway 341/001 and the new A66, from a
Consent Order	148	reference	point 165 metres north of the junction between the existing A66 and the improved
(This has now been amended in 5.1 Draft			B6552 B6542, extending in a generally northeasterly direction for a distance of 252
			metres, to its junction with Bridleway 341/001 (as shown on sheet 7 of rights of way and access plans for scheme 0405).
Development Consent Order Rev 2 (REP2-005)			access plans for scheme 0405).
which was submitted at			
Deadline 2).			
APP-285	Draft DCO	A typographical	Reference 16 14
5.1 Draft Development	Schedule 2	error in the	To be substituted by a new private means of access (Reference 4614), together with a
Consent Order	Part 3 (page	reference number	right of vehicular access over new footpath Reference F (as above) and new highway
(This has now been	167) refers to		Reference E (as above), for the benefit of the land affected by the stopping up of
amended in 5.1 Draft	Reference 16		private means of access reference r.
Development Consent	as part of		
Order Rev 2 (REP2-005)	Reference R.		
which was submitted at	Reference 16		
Deadline 2).	is not in		



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text
	existence on plans.		
APP-285 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order (This has now been amended in 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order Rev 2 (REP2-005) which was submitted at Deadline 2).	Schedule 2 Part 3, page 173	A typographical error in the spelling of Dick Scot Lane.	Reference a Access to land and premises (Browson Bank Farm) on the south side of the existing A66, 1 km to the north-west of its junction with the existing Dick Scott Lane.
APP-285 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order (This has now been amended in 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order Rev 2 (REP2-005) which was submitted at Deadline 2).	Schedule 2 Part 3, page 173	A typographical error in the spelling of Dick Scot Lane.	Reference b Access to agricultural land on the north side of the A66, opposite the existing junction of the A66 with Dick Scott Lane.
APP-285 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order (This has now been amended in 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order Rev 2 (REP2-005) which was submitted at Deadline 2).	Schedule 2 Part 3, page 173-174	A typographical error in the spelling of Dick Scot Lane.	Reference c Access to agricultural land on the south side of the existing A66, 260 metres to the east of the existing junction of the A66 with Dick Scott Lane.



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text
REP2-005 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order Rev 2 which was submitted at Deadline 2	Part 2, Article 7, page 11 and 12.	Incorrect references to Work Numbers: Work No.09-2D, should be renamed as Work No.09-3E The original reference (in Rev 1 of the draft DCO) to Work No.09-3B was correct and should be reinstated	Table referred to in paragraph (3) (1) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text					
			Work No. 08-1B					
REP2-005 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order Rev 2 which was submitted at Deadline 2	Part 5, Article 53(12)	Typographical error in the definition of "commence". This will be corrected in the next iteration of the draft Development Consent Order.	"commence" means beginning to carry out any material operation (as defined in section 56(4) of the 1990 Act) forming part of the authorised development other than operations consisting of archaeological investigations and mitigation works, ecological surveys and mitigation works, investigations for the purpose of assessing and monitoring ground conditions and levels, remedial work in respect of any contamination or other adverse ground conditions, erection of any temporary means of enclosure, receipt and erection of construction plant and equipment, diversion and laying of underground apparatus, and the temporary display of site notices or advertisements, and "commencement" is to be construed accordingly;					
APP-285 5.1 Draft Development Consent Order	Various	Typographical errors	The Applicant reviewed the application version of the draft DCO and corrected a number of minor typographical errors. These are shown on the track changes version of the DCO submitted at Deadline 2 [REP2-006].					
APP-045 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 The Project	Paragraph 2.5.7	Incorrect figure reference	Figures 2.1: Sheet 1 M6 Junction 40 to Kemplay Bank Order Limits to-Figure 2.8: Sheet 8 A1(M) Junction 53 Scotch Corner Order Limits (Application Document 3.3) also present the Order Limits. The Order Limits includes land that will be required for the project both permanently and temporarily including land required to deliver mitigation identified through the environmental assessment.					
APP-045 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 The Project	Paragraph 2.5.8	Incorrect figure reference and typographical error	Figures 2.92: Sheet 1 M6 Junction 40 to Kemplay Bank Indicative Site Clearance Boundary to 2.16: Sheet 8 A1(M) Junction 53 Scotch Corner Indicative Site Clearance Boundary (Application Document Document 3.3) show the site clearance boundary assumed for assessment. This boundary is derived from the indicative scheme design					



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text
			and the indicative construction working areas, and is used in the assessment as a reasonable worse-case worst-case assumption for the extent of vegetation and top soil clearance. The remainder of the Order Limits is land included for environmental mitigation, and therefore it is assumed that important receptors (e.g. hedgerows, mature trees) will be retained in this area. It is recognised, however, that the DCO will theoretically allow the full area within the Order Limits to be cleared, therefore chapters that consider specific receptors have assessed the impact of clearance on those receptors as a worst case, as there may be changes to the proposed construction areas. Where the assessment is area based (e.g. looking at area of vegetation or topsoil lost) the indicative site clearance boundary is used for the assessment, as it represents the reasonable worst case. This assumption is set out in the Project Design Principles (Application Document 5.11).
APP-045 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 The Project	Paragraph 2.5.9	Incorrect figure reference	Figures 2.473 Sheet 1 M6 Junction 40 to Kemplay Bank Indicative Construction Areas to 2.24: Sheet 8 A1(M) Junction 53 Scotch Corner Indicative Site Construction Areas (Application Document 3.3) show the indicative construction compounds, haul roads and working areas that have formed the basis for assessment. The assessment assumes that construction activities could occur anywhere within the Order Limits, but that the greatest activity (especially in terms of plant use and movement) will be within these areas as well as the main scheme construction area, as defined by the DCO Works Plans (Application Document 5.16).
APP-045 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 The Project	Paragraph 2.6.1	Amendment to provide clarity regarding M6 Junction 40 and Kemplay Bank Roundabout.	The M6 Junction 40 to Kemplay Bank scheme would provide a three-lane circulatory carriageway with spiral markings, within the footprint of the current roundabout at M6 Junction 40. The A66 eastern arm of the roundabout would be widened to three lanes in each direction between M6 Junction 40 and Kemplay Bank Roundabout to increase capacity for local movements around Penrith. Widening would be required on the following five approach arms to M6 Junction 40 to provide additional lanes and a dedicated left turn facility, each controlled under its own signal phase: M6 North, M6 South, A66 East, A66 West, and A592 Ullswater Road.
APP-045 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 The Project	Paragraph 2.6.8	Clarification on the police observation points	A police observation point would be included on The verges on the Kemplay Bank overbridges are an appropriate width for use as a police observation point for intermittent mobile speed enforcement purposes.
APP-045 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 The Project	Paragraph 2.2.65	Incorrect number of ponds referenced.	48-20 ponds are proposed at low points in the scheme to attenuate drainage and run- off from the road in order to manage the water quality before it is discharged into the surrounding watercourses. Shared and dedicated access tracks are proposed to be provided to the north and to the south of the road to facilitate access to ponds for maintenance purposes and to accommodate landowner movements.



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text				
APP-049 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Biodiversity	Paragraph 6.8.6	The final bullet point of paragraph 6.8.6, also referred to in EMP REAC Commitment MW-BD-21 refers to the avoidance of the use of rodenticide where possible. National Highways can confirm that there will be no use of rodenticides and this was an error. The EMP REACH MW-BD-21 will also be amended on this point.	Any use of rodenticide should be avoided where possible on construction compounds as to prevent deaths of barn owls through eating poisoned rodents. There will be no use of rodenticides and traps/machines will be used at construct compounds so as to prevent deaths of barn owls through eating poisoned rodents.				
APP-052 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Geology and Soils	Paragraph 9.7.209	Stray 'and' and bullet point at the end of the paragraph	The predicted climatic changes have the potential to impact the mobility and migration of contaminant within the ground and groundwater. The potential combined effects relating to geology and contamination and future climate change for the Project include, but not limited to: • the risk of contamination migration through changes in temperature and atmospheric pressure • the risk of contamination migration through fluctuating groundwater levels • increased erosion of geology and soils (extremes in temperatures, increased rainfall intensity) and				
APP-053 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Landscape and Visual	Tables 10-1 to 10-15 Impacts on Viewpoints	Incorrect table number references	Table 10-8-10-11: ICCI assessment for operation likely effects Table 10-11 10-12: Summary of significant effects (construction) Table 10-12 10-13: Summary of significant effects (operation year 1)				



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text
			Table 10-7- 10-14: Summary of residual significant effects (year 15 - summer) Table 10-8 10-15Combined predicted residual effects
APP-287 5.4 Consents and Agreements Position Statement	Paragraph 3.2.3	Incorrect local authority reference.	These have been progressed by the Applicant working with the following stakeholders and as follows: Defence Infrastructure Organisation (Ministry of Defence) Cumbria County Council and Eden District Council Durham County Council North Yorkshire County Council and Richborough Borough-Richmondshire District Council Representatives of the Travellers Community Natural England Environment Agency Historic England AONB Partnership
APP-299 5.8 Statement of Reasons (This has now been amended in 5.8 Statement of Reasons, Rev 2 (REP2-012) which was submitted at Deadline 2).	Paragraph 2.1.9	Amendment to provide clarity regarding M6 Junction 40 and Kemplay Bank Roundabout.	The M6 Junction 40 to Kemplay Bank scheme would provide a three-lane circulatory carriageway with spiral markings, within the footprint of the current roundabout at M6 Junction 40. The A66 eastern arm of the roundabout would be widened to three lanes in each direction between M6 Junction 40 and Kemplay Bank Roundabout to increase capacity for local movements around Penrith. Widening would be required on the following five approach arms to M6 Junction 40 to provide additional lanes and a dedicated left turn facility, each controlled under its own signal phase: M6 North, M6 South, A66 East, A66 West, and A592 Ullswater Road.
APP-299 5.8 Statement of Reasons (This has now been amended in 5.8 Statement of Reasons, Rev 2 (REP2-012) which was submitted at Deadline 2).	Paragraph 2.1.17	Clarification on the police observation points	A police observation point would be included on The verges on the Kemplay Bank overbridges are an appropriate width for use as a police observation point for intermittent mobile speed enforcement purposes.
APP-299 5.8 Statement of Reasons	Paragraph 2.1.69	Incorrect number of ponds referenced.	18-20 ponds are proposed at low points in the scheme to attenuate drainage and run- off from the road in order to manage the water quality before it is discharged into the surrounding watercourses. Shared and dedicated access tracks are proposed to be



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text					
(This has now been amended in 5.8 Statement of Reasons, Rev 2 (REP2-012) which was submitted at Deadline 2).			provided to the north and to the south of the road to facilitate access to ponds fo maintenance purposes and to accommodate landowner movements.					
APP-299	Paragraph	Plot 0102-02-54						
5.8 Statement of Reasons (This has now been amended in 5.8	7.2.10 – associated table	was not included within the table.	Land use powers sought in the DCO	Plots	Area (sqm)	Replacement land to be provided	Plots	Area (sqm)
Statement of Reasons,			Open Space			I		
Rev 2 (REP2-012) which was submitted at Deadline 2).			Land to be acquired compulsorily	0102-01-32 0102-01-47 0102-02-01 0102-02-08 0102-02-22	8,020	Yes	0102-02- 20	10,833
			Land to be subject to the creation and acquisition of new rights (permanent rights for environmental mitigation)	0102-02-54 0102-02-57 0102-02-58 0102-02-68 0102-02-70 0102-02-72 0102-02-73	3,595 4,624	No, because the land, when burdened with the new rights will be no less advantageous than before	N/A	N/A
			Land to be subject to powers of temporary possession	0102-01-33 0102-02-21	4,175	No (not required because not compulsory acquisition)	N/A	N/A
APP-299 5.8 Statement of Reasons (This has now been amended in 5.8 Statement of Reasons, Rev 2 (REP2-012) which	Section 2.2	Paragraph numbering error in Section 2.2 Case for the Project	Total area of s			n S0102: 15,790 .2.1 to 2.2.13 a		



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text
was submitted at Deadline 2).			
APP-344 5.19 Rights of Way and Access Plans	Scheme 0405 Temple Sowerby to Appleby; Sheet 3:	Typographical error – the word "demolished" was wrongly spelt on the sheet.	Existing Winthorn to be demolished.
APP-048 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 Air Quality	Paragraph 5.10.17	Typographical error	The assessment of effects at designated habitats identified two locations where the scheme is predicted to result in an increase in nitrogen deposition greater than 0.4 kg N/ha/yr. The maximum increase in nutrient nitrogen deposition as a result of construction-related vehicles is predicted to be 2.4 kg N/ha/year at receptor point Rokeby Park and Mortham Wood Local Wildlife Site Lightwater Alluvial Forest part of the River Eden and Tributaries SSSI. At this location, as a percentage of the lower critical load for the relevant habitat (10 kg N/ha/yr), there is a 24% increase in nitrogen deposition. This receptor point is predicted to experience an increase as a result of construction vehicle movements. There are predicted to be around 365 additional HDV movements per day past this location.
APP-202 3.4 Environmental Statement Appendix 10.6 Schedule of Visual Effects	Table 1	Typographical error with reference to "low" value sensitivity which is corrected to "moderate."	Sensitivity The combination of low-moderate value and moderate susceptibility results in moderate sensitivity to the scheme.
APP- 053 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Landscape and Visual	Table 10-8 (p170) Table 10-11 (p 171) Table 10-12 (p176) Table 10-7 (p180) Table 10-8 (p182) T	Typographical error with the table numbering and titles.	Correct table numberings Table 10-8: ICCI assessment for operation likely effects-Table 10-11: ICCI assessment for operation likely effects Table 10-11: Summary of significant effects (construction)-Table 10-12: Summary of significant effects (construction) Table 10-12: Summary of significant effects (operation year 1)-Table 10-13: Summary of significant effects (operation year 1) Table 10-7: Summary of residual significant effects (year 15 - summer)-Table 10-14: Summary of residual significant effects (year 15 - summer)



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text		
			Table 10-8: Combined pre residual effects	dicted residual effects-Table 10-15: Combined predicted	
APP-053 3.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Landscape and Visual	10.9.30	Typographical error, which requires deletion as shown.	The construction activity would be located across and in close proximity to the existing A66, so as to consolidate the construction phase to within the existing perception of the road and Bowes.		
APP-200 3.4	10.4.2	Typographical error – the word "Scarps" was wrongly spelt.	13a – Scraps Scarps		
Environmental Statement Appendix 10.4 Landscape			Table 2: 13a – Scraps Scarps		
Character Assessments			Scarps (13a)	Relevant Scheme Study Areas within area: Appleby to Brough Relevant Order Limits within the area: None	
			Relevant aspects of the published description: Scarps LCT is situated along the western edge of the North Pennines, and is located within the North Pennines AONB. The published description of the Scarps is: This landscape has an open, expansive and undeveloped character that give		
			sense of remoteness. The open moorland and vast, uninterrupted skies add a sense of wildness.		
		Relevant stated key characteristics:			
			Horizontal outcrops of limestone and volcanic rock form distinct features. Unimproved graph deminators		
			 Unimproved grassland dominates. Steep slopes often filled with bracken and scrub. 		
			Ghylls and gullies intersect the scar and moorland.		
			Improved pasture on lower slopes.		
			Small fields bounded by stone walls.		
			Sensitive characteristic features include the steep limestone grassland slopes intersected by streams, low lying wooded areas as well as the tranquil character, the remoteness of the area and the sense of wilderness.		



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text			
APP-187 3.4 Environmental Statement Appendix 8.10 Impact Assessment Table	All tables within the Appendix	Typographical error with the table numbering.	The table reference numbers in Appendix 8.10 are currently numbered Table 1 to Table 25. The table numbers should all start with Table 8.10.1 to Table 8.10.25 to be consistent with the Appendix reference number.			
APP-049 3.2 Table 6-8 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Biodiversity	Table 6-8	Typographical error with reference to the "CREAM	Consultee/ respondent	Comment	Applicant response	Where addressed?
		model", which is deleted as shown.	Natural England	Consultation was undertaken with Natural England in relation to assessment air quality impacts via email correspondence in relation to the following items: 1. Can Natural England clarify their concerns and expectation with regards the loss of one species metric given that this is referenced in both LA105 and NEA001. 2. Are Natural England aware of any evidence of existing habitat impacts/degradation or species impacts associated with air pollution within the Project area (email dated 02/12/21).	It was agreed that the project team would incorporate the ammonia/CREAM model, as advised and provided by Natural England, within the air quality modelling. No further correspondence with Natural England and air quality has been undertaken to date however it is understood that National Highways have since agreed to pursue an updated approach to assessing air quality in collaboration with Natural England.	ES Chapter 5: Air Quality; ES Chapter 6 Biodiversity Section 6.10: Assessment of likely significant effects.



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text	
			Natural England	
			responded to confirm:	
			'Natural England do not	
			endorse LA105 as it is	
			not compliant with the	
			Habs Regs process (as	
			correctly explained in	
			LA115) and it uses	
			NECR210 to propose a	
			loss of one species	
			metric which is used to	
			screen sites out of	
			further assessment	
			based upon this	
			information in isolation.	
			Natural England do	
			support the use of	
			NECR210, as identified	
			in NEA001, however	
			only at the appropriate	
			assessment stage, for	
			the specific habitat	
			types the reports covers	
			and alongside other	
			sources of site-specific	
			evidence (email dated	
			15/12/21)	



Application Document Reference	Section and Paragraph Reference	Nature of Erratum and Explanation	Amendment / Replacement Text
APP-043 3.1 Environmental Statement (ES) Non-Technical Summary(NTS)	4.11.15	Bullet point 2 of paragraph 4.11.15 was wrongly included in the ES NTS and is not correct as is set out in the technical chapters of the ES. This should be removed as shown.	 Appleby to Brough Significant permanent beneficial effects to 12 community assets in the operational phase. Significant permanent adverse effects to three businesses as a result of permanent noise and vibration changes. Significant beneficial effect to one business in the operational phase. No significant effects to private property or PRoW are likely. Overall positive health effect.